

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,269	04/10/2007	Haoyi Wan	292986US8PCT	5615
<sup>22850</sup> O618:2010 O618:2010 1940 DUKE STREET 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			NICKERSON, JEFFREY L	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2442	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/584,269	WAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
JEFFREY NICKERSON	2442

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX 69 MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.
	<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expect SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or restended period for mery will. by statute, cause the application to become BARDADONED CB USL SC, §133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carned patient term adjustment. See 30 FCR 17/00PC</li> </ul>
SI	tatus
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2010.
	2a) ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Di	isposition of Claims
	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
4	pplication Papers
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Pı	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a)
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

# Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: .

Application/Control Number: 10/584,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 2442

## DETAILED ACTION

 This communication is in response to Application No. 10/584,269 filed nationally on 10 April 2007 and internationally on 24 December 2004. The response presented on 26 March 2010, which amends claims 1, 6, and presents arguments, is hereby acknowledged. Claims 1-8 are currently pending and have been examined.

## 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's amendments and arguments, filed in the response dated 26 March 2010 and with regard to the rejections under 35 USC 103(a), have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, new grounds of rejection may appear below.

Art Unit: 2442

### Claim Rejections

 Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Wan et al ("Autonomous Topology Optimization and Recovery for Peer-to-Peer Networks", 04 March 2004).

Regarding claim 1, Wan teaches a node device which newly joins a network formed by a first existing node and a second existing node, the node device comprising:

a virtual connection establisher unit configured to establish a first virtual connection with the first existing node and configured to establish a second virtual connection with the second existing node (Wan: pg 96, section 3.2, step 1; Figure 4, and paragraph below):

a total metric value calculator unit configured to calculate a first total metric value for the first virtual connection and configured to calculate a second total metric value for the second virtual connection (Wan: pg 96, section 3.2 first three paragraphs, steps 1-2; Figures 3-4 and paragraphs below);

a connection establisher unit configured to establish a connection with only the first existing node when the first total metric value is smaller than the second total metric value, and configured to establish a connection with only the second existing node when the second total metric value is smaller than the first total metric value (Wan: pg 96, section 3.2, step 3); and

wherein when calculating the first total metric value:

the total metric value calculator calculates a first weighted metric value as a product of a metric value metric value of the first virtual connection to the first existing node and a first weighting coefficient representing a number of adjacent nodes to the first existing node (Wan: pg 96, section 3.2 formula at top and two paragraphs beneath);

the total metric value calculator calculates a second weighted metric value as a product of a metric value of a route to a second existing node via the first virtual connection and the first existing node and a second weighting coefficient representing a number of adjacent nodes to the second existing node (Wan: pg 96, section 3.2 formula at top and two paragraphs beneath);

and the first total metric value is calculated as a sum of the first weighted value and the second weighted metric value divided by the sum of the first and second weighting coefficients (Wan: section 3.2, formula at top and two paragraphs beneath); and

wherein when calculating the second total metric value:

the total metric value calculator calculates a third weighted metric value as a product of a metric value of the second virtual connection to the second existing node and the second weighting coefficient (Wan: pg 96, section 3.2 formula at top and two paragraphs beneath);

the total metric value calculator calculates a fourth weighted metric value as a product of a metric value of a route to the first existing node via the second

Art Unit: 2442

virtual connection and the first weighting coefficient (Wan: pg 96, section 3.2 formula at top and two paragraphs beneath);

and the second total metric value is calculated as a sum of the third weighted metric value and the fourth weighted metric value divided by the sum of the first and second weighting coefficients (Wan: section 3.2, formula at top and two paragraphs beneath).

Regarding claim 2, the Wan system teaches further comprising:

an acquirer unit configured to acquire, from at least one of the first existing node and the second existing node, a node-to-node connection information of an adjacent node to any other of the plurality of existing nodes forming the network (Wan: pg 95, section 3.1.3); and

wherein the weighted metric value calculator unit is configured to calculate the weighted metric value in accordance with the node-to-node connection information (Wan: pg 96, section 3.2 first 3 paragraphs provides it's using the collected information).

Regarding claim 3, the Wan system teaches wherein the node-node connection information includes a node ID for identifying the adjacent node, a metric value of a route between each of the first existing node and the second existing node to the adjacent node, and a number of nodes adjacent to the adjacent node (Wan: pg 95, Table 2).

Art Unit: 2442

Regarding claim 4, the Wan system teaches wherein the metric value includes at least one of a number of hops, network bandwidth, communication costs, delay, load, MTU, or reliability (Wan: pg 94, section 3.1.2 first paragraph).

Regarding claim 6, this method claim comprises limitations corresponding to that of claim 1 and the same rationale of rejection is used, where applicable.

 Claims 5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wan et al ("Autonomous Topology Optimization and Recovery for Peer-to-Peer Networks", 04 March 2004); and in further view of Traversat et al (US 2002/0147771 A1).

Regarding claim 5, the Wan system teaches wherein the acquirer unit is configured to receive, from the first existing node and the second existing node, a metric value or a combination of metric values to within the node-node connection information (Wan: pg 94, section 3.1.1 first paragraph):

Wan does not teach notifying, the other peer, of a type of a metric value or a combination of metric values to be included in the response information.

Traversat, in a similar field of endeavor, teaches notifying, the other peer, of a type of a metric value or a combination of metric values to be included in the response information (Traversat: [0350]-[0356] specifies that specific properties may be requested for).

Art Unit: 2442

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Traversat for requesting characteristics from another peer in the decentralized network. The teachings of Traversat, when implemented in the Wan system, will allow one of ordinary skill in the art to form greedy and dynamic cost tables by requesting information relevant to a node's own interests. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to utilize the teachings of Traversat in the Wan system in order to provide a more wholesome cost table, incorporating more variables into a cost equation, and fleshing out its effectiveness.

Regarding claim 7, the Wan system teaches wherein the acquirer unit is configured to periodically acquire updated node-node connection information by receiving an update from the first existing node and the second existing node (Wan: pg 94, section 3.1.1 first paragraph).

The Wan system does not teach requesting the updates.

Traversat, in a similar field of endeavor, teaches requesting the updates (Traversat: [0350]-[0356] specifies that various peer information properties may be queried for).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Traversat for requesting characteristics from another peer in the decentralized network. The teachings of Traversat, when implemented in the Wan system, will allow one of ordinary skill in the art to form greedy and dynamic cost tables by requesting information relevant to a node's own interests.

Art Unit: 2442

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to utilize the teachings of Traversat in the Wan system in order to provide a more wholesome cost table, incorporating more variables into a cost equation, and fleshing out its effectiveness.

Regarding claim 8, this method claim comprises limitations corresponding to that of claim 7 and the same rationale of rejection is used, where applicable.

#### Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Atkinson et al (US 7,643,408 B2) discloses a topology restoration system with nodes sharing a variety of cost information.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,269 Page 9

Art Unit: 2442

#### Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2442

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY NICKERSON whose telephone number is (571)270-3631. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 9:00am - 7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Philip Lee can be reached on (571)272-3967. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 2442 /Philip C Lee/ Acting Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442